DO EXTRAVERTS PROCESS SOCIAL STIMULI DIFFERENTLY FROM INTROVERTS?
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Abstract

The personality trait of extraversion has been linked to the network of brain systems controlling sensitivity to cues of reward and generating approach behavior in response, but little is known about whether extraverts’ neural circuits are especially sensitive to social stimuli, given their preference for social engagement. Utilizing the event-related potentials (ERP) methodology, this study demonstrates that variation on the extraversion dimension is associated with the extent to which social stimuli evoke enhanced allocation of attention. Specifically, higher scores on extraversion were found to be associated with higher amplitudes of the P300 component of the ERPs elicited by human faces. This finding suggests that social stimuli carry enhanced motivational significance for individuals characterized by high extraversion, and that individual differences in personality are related to meaningful individual differences in neural responses to social stimuli.
Acknowledgments

This report is based on work supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) grant P01 HD 33113 awarded to UB and the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) fellowship 5 T32 MH20002 awarded to IF.
Extraversion, a fundamental personality dimension, captures the social aspect of personality. Extraverts have a preference for seeking, engaging in, and enjoying social interactions, whereas introverts prefer to avoid social situations and tend to be reserved, withdrawn or shy in social settings (Costa & McCrae, 1980; John, 1990). From the early personality and trait theorists (Allport, 1937; Eysenck, 1967) through the contemporary social neuroscience (e.g., Canli, 2004; Depue, 2007; Wright et al., 2006), there continues to be a quest for physiological and neural substrates of personality traits, and extraversion in particular. Among the findings pertaining to the neurobiological correlates of extraversion (a comprehensive review of which is outside of this paper’s scope) are positive correlations with neural activity in dopaminergically innervated, reward-sensitive regions, including the ventral striatum, amygdala and medial prefrontal cortices (Cohen et al., 2005; Depue & Collins, 1999; Johnson et al., 1999), although, as noted by Canli (2004), it is clear that personality factors like extraversion are most likely widely distributed in the brain. Yet, notwithstanding the multitude of studies accruing at a fast rate, a core question of whether extraverts’ neural circuits are more sensitive to social stimuli per se, befitting the very definition of extraversion, has yet to be addressed. Given that social engagement and preference for other people’s company is one of the fundamental features of extraversion (cf. Ashton, Lee, & Paunonen, 2002), it is essential to establish whether social stimuli, such as images of humans, are indeed assigned differential weights in the brains of extraverts relative to introverts.

Electrophysiological indices of brain activity, such as event-related potentials (ERPs), are well suited to address this question as they directly measure brain responses to discrete stimuli. Briefly, ERPs are derived from an electroencephalogram (EEG) by means of signal averaging,
and are thought to arise from the synchronous activities of neuronal populations engaged in processing of information at hand. Among many identified ERP components, the P300 component is known as a marker of expectancy-related cognitive operations and as such might prove useful in investigating whether extraverts’ neural circuits are activated by social stimuli more so than those of introverts.

It has been well established that the amplitude of the P300 – a positive-going ERP component with a peak latency of approximately 300 to 500 ms (contingent upon stimulus modality and task difficulty) following the onset of the eliciting event and maximum amplitudes measured at centro-parietal scalp sites – is proportional to the amount of attentional resources engaged in processing a given stimulus (Donchin & Coles, 1988; Johnson, 1988). The P300 is traditionally assessed using an “oddball” paradigm, in which one is presented with a sequence of events representing two distinct categories that vary along a given dimension, with one category occurring less frequently. A larger P300 is elicited by the events representing the low-probability – oddball – category (Donchin, 1981), even in the absence of instructions to categorize along a relevant dimension (Farwell & Donchin, 1991; Ito & Cacioppo, 2000).

Importantly, in addition to the objective frequency of the stimuli that the subject is facing, the P300 amplitude is further affected by the extent to which these stimuli have an intrinsic psychological relevance for the subject. For instance, Johnston and Wang (1991) showed that identical pictures elicited different P300 amplitudes in women at different phases of the menstrual cycle, such that pictures of babies and male models evoked larger P300s in women in the high-progesterone phase as compared to women in the low-progesterone phase. Recently, Fishman, Goldman and Donchin (2008) have demonstrated P300 sensitivity to the individual-specific experiences with (and beliefs about the outcomes of) alcohol use by employing

* Also sometimes referred to as the P3b component (cf., Polich, 2003, 2004).
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experimental stimuli evoking a wide range of consequences of alcohol ingestion. Only those participants who reported frequent consumption of alcohol in large amounts and believed in “positive” effects of alcohol exhibited large P300 when presented with stimuli suggesting opposite (i.e., negative) effects of drinking. Further, Gray, Ambady, Lowenthal, & Deldin (2004) have shown that autobiographical, self-relevant information, such as one’s hometown or pet’s name, elicited increased P300 amplitudes, which were not significantly smaller than the P300 in response to the neutral/objective oddballs to which the subjects were explicitly instructed to direct their attention. Taken together, these findings (along with those by others; cf. Rosenfeld, Biroschak, & Furedy, 2005 on autobiographical items and P300) suggest that otherwise neutral or “objectively”-chosen stimuli have a potential to become subjectively-relevant – be it due to prior exposure, subjective preferences, or other individual history – and, as a result, take on additional psychological significance, which adds another source of variability to the P300 amplitude. This notion is encapsulated by a recent theory positing that P300 amplitude might reflect the extent to which processed information is motivationally significant or subjectively salient, through the activity of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine (LC-NE) system, which may be measurable at the scalp as the P300 (Nieuwenhuis, Aston-Jones, & Cohen, 2005).

Within this framework, the present study utilized the P300 component of the ERPs to test the hypothesis that extraverts, who by definition enjoy and seek the company of others, would show increased P300 amplitudes when human faces serve as experimental stimuli, as compared to other, non-social stimuli. The key factor on which this prediction is based is the assumption that extraverts and introverts have differential motivational values that they assign to social stimuli, which, at the level of ERPs, should elicit differential P300 effects. The main question addressed by this study is whether the neural circuitry in individuals characterized by high
sociability (i.e., extraverts) is more sensitive to processing information with social content, in comparison to introverts.

Method

Twenty-eight healthy young adults (15 females) between the ages of 18 and 40 (mean age = 21.5, SD = 4.58) participated in the study. Participants were recruited as part of an ongoing multicenter research program and screened to rule out history of central nervous system (CNS) disorder or injury, current or past psychiatric conditions, and current use of medications affecting CNS. The average number of years of formal education was 13.5 years (SD = 1.4); the sample’s ethnic composition was quite diverse, with 43% reporting their ethnicity as Caucasian, 35% as Asian-American, 11% as Hispanic, 7% as African-American, and 4% as Native American.

Individual differences in extraversion were assessed using the 48-item Extraversion scale of the NEO Personality Inventory Revised (NEO PI-R; Costa & McCrae, 1992), from which Extraversion T-scores were calculated based on gender-specific normative data. The Extraversion scale was administered following the ERP task, to avoid any unintended priming that might occur with use of introspective questions about one’s personality.

A P300-eliciting “oddball” task was designed to assess whether, in individuals with high Extraversion scores, human faces evoke more attention allocation (i.e., elicit larger P300 in response to oddball targets) than non-social, but otherwise comparable visual stimuli. As reviewed above, a standard oddball task requires that stimuli be clearly classifiable into two distinct categories (e.g., high- vs. low-tone pitches, or X vs. O letters), while one category is presented much more frequently (e.g., on 80% of the trials) than the other. Such an uneven probability setup robustly elicits large P300 amplitudes in response to the infrequent – oddball – stimuli, signifying enhanced resource allocation to an out-of-ordinary event. Utilizing this
reliable experimental design, pictures of faces (males vs. females), as a social condition, and flowers (purple vs. yellow), as a non-social but equally complex visual control condition, were used as follows. Thirty color headshots of faces with neutral facial expression (NimStim Face Stimulus Set; Tottenham et al., 2009; 15 of each gender, matched for ethnicity) were used in Blocks 1 and 3, while 30 images of either purple or yellow flowers (15 of each) were used in Blocks 2 and 4. In each block, stimuli from two distinct categories (males and females in Blocks 1 and 3; purple and yellow flowers in Blocks 2 and 4) were presented semi-randomly, with one of the categories appearing on 80% of the trials (e.g., male; purple flower) and the other, “target” event (e.g., female; yellow flower) appearing on 20% of the trials (targets were counterbalanced between the blocks). A semi-random presentation, with the same stimulus prevented from being presented on two consecutive trials, was chosen to avoid potential sequential effects (i.e., reduced P300 amplitude in response to targets appearing on successive trials; cf. Duncan-Johnson & Donchin, 1977; Johnson & Donchin, 1980) that might obscure differences between target and non-target trials. Participants were instructed to respond (by a key press) each time they saw a specified target (i.e., oddball event). It was predicted that individuals with high Extraversion scores would exhibit larger P300 amplitudes in response to oddball events in the Face (social) in comparison to the Flower (non-social) blocks, despite equivalent probability (.20) of the oddball targets in both conditions.

Overall, the task consisted of four blocks of 60 trials each (semi-randomly drawn from the 30 available images), which, given a target probability of .20, yielded 24 oddball trials for each Faces and Flowers conditions. Each trial consisted of a 500-ms presentation of a fixation cross, followed by an 800-ms stimulus presentation, to which ERPs were time-locked, with an intra-stimulus-interval (ISI) of 1000-ms. EEG data were recorded using NetStation 4.0, an EEG
recording system (Electrical Geodesics, Inc.; EGI, Eugene, OR), with a 64-channel Geodesic Sensor Net with Ag/AgCl electrodes. Data were sampled at a rate of 250 per second and filtered offline with a 0.1 to 40 Hz bandpass filter. The filtered data were segmented into epochs starting 100 ms before stimulus onset to 900 ms after stimulus onset, subjected to automated artifact detection (>70 µV in any one of the channels), corrected for vertical and horizontal eye movements (Gratton, Coles, & Donchin, 1983), re-referenced to a linked-mastoid reference, and baseline-corrected using the average of the 100-ms pre-stimulus epoch. Artifact-free trials were then averaged by experimental condition generating four separate average waveforms: oddball (target) vs. frequent stimuli, separately for Faces and Flowers conditions. The average number of artifact-free trials was 21.15 (SD = 3.07) for the Face targets and 20.07 (SD = 3.30) for the Flower targets.

For objective, data-driven, measurement of the P300 amplitude, its magnitude was determined by principal components analysis (PCA), a formal multivariate procedure which has a number of advantages over traditional peak measures (see Donchin & Heffley, 1978; Spencer, Dien & Donchin, 2001). PCA decompositions were based on covariance association matrices and solutions were rotated using the Varimax procedure to maximize the amount of variance associated with the smallest number of variables; the number of components to be rotated was determined by the Scree test (Cattell, 1966). Correlational analysis with PCA-derived P300 amplitude as primary outcome variable was employed as the main inferential analytic method. Correlational analysis, rather than group variance analysis, was chosen given the continuous nature of Extraversion construct.

Results

The participants’ Total Extraversion T-scores ranged from 35 to 73, representing a wide
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The spectrum of Extraversion: The NEO-PI-R manual interprets $T$ scores of 56 to 65 as high and scores of 35 to 44 as low; while $T > 65$ and $T < 35$ are interpreted as very high and very low, respectively. The mean $T$-score for the sample was 56.2 ($SD = 10.9$). Extraversion scores were not significantly correlated with either age, or years of formal education (both $rs < .08$, $ps > .67$). There was no significant correlation between Extraversion and accuracy as measured by error rates ($r = -.08$, $p = .66$), most likely due to the overall high accuracy of performance on this task (mean accuracy = .98, $SD = .03$).

Figure 1 represents the ERP waveforms at the parietal Pz electrode (where P300 is typically at its maximum) for the Faces and Flowers conditions, averaged across individuals with low, mid-range and high Extraversion scores, based on the tertiary split of the sample (with cut-off points of 33% and 66% of the sample Total Extraversion $T$-scores distribution, resulting in semi-equal groups of $n = 9, 10$ and 9, respectively**). The P300, a characteristic large positive deflection with a peak latency of about 500 ms following the stimulus onset, appears to systematically vary across these groups: While the P300 elicited by Flower oddballs appears to be unchanged between the groups, as was expected (since the intrinsic significance of flowers was not hypothesized to vary according to one’s extraversion level), the amplitude of the P300 elicited by Face oddballs appears to vary as a function of participant’s extraversion, such that the smallest positivity is observed in those with low Extraversion scores (i.e., introverts) and the largest positivity is seen in those with high Extraversion scores (i.e., extraverts). To quantify these observable differences, the P300 amplitude values were first derived by applying the

** The 3 groups did not differ on age, $F (2,27) = 1.02$, $p = .38$; however, as expected based on the NEO-PI manual and norms, the Low Extraversion group included significantly more females than the Mid- and High Extraversion groups ($F (2,27) = 5.22$, $p = .01$; pairwise comparison $ps = .01$). Both Mid- and High Extraversion groups were characterized by equal number of males and females (pairwise comparison $p = .46$). There was no difference between the groups with respect to the reaction times (RT) to either faces ($F (2,27) = 1.54$, $p = .23$) or flowers ($F (2,27) = 2.01$, $p = .15$).
spatio-temporal Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to the data, as described in Methods. Based on the scalp distribution (i.e., highest loadings in the parietal electrodes) and the temporal variance accounted for (i.e., highest loadings in the 500 ms range, the time window corresponding to the peak positivity emerged in the averaged data; see Figure 1), a P300-like component was identified. Its PCA-derived factor scores for each experimental condition were used as primary dependent variables in all analyses.

Using the PCA-derived magnitude of the P300 amplitude, a correlation analysis revealed that, as predicted, the P300 amplitude elicited by oddball Face stimuli correlated significantly with Extraversion scores ($r = .54, p = .006$), such that the higher an individual’s Extraversion score, the larger the P300 in response to Face oddballs (Figure 2). A bootstrapped correlation analysis using 10,000 samples computed a 95% confidence interval ranging from .27 to .75 ($SE = 0.12$), indicating that this effect was not driven by outlying values. Similar results were obtained when analyzing the so-called P300 effect determined as a difference wave between frequent and oddball Face trials ($r = .50, p = .005$), suggesting that the association between Face-elicited P300 and Extraversion is stable across different methods of calculating the P300. On the other hand, there was no significant (or sizeable) correlation between individuals’ Extraversion scores and P300 amplitude in response to non-social (i.e., flower) oddballs ($r = .09, p = .32$), indicating that the association between Extraversion and P300 was specific to social stimuli / faces. Finally, partial correlation analysis was used to rule out any potential confound of age on

---

*** To capture variance across electrode sites, a spatial PCA was conducted on a covariance matrix with the voltage readings at each of the 65 electrodes (64 plus reference) as variables, and time points across conditions and subjects as cases (250 time points [1000 ms epochs, sampled every 4 ms] x 4 conditions x 28 participants). Using the Scree test, 8 spatial factors, accounting for 88.6% of the total variance, were extracted for Varimax rotation. Next, to achieve the analogous reduction in dimensionality in the temporal domain, a temporal PCA was conducted, with the data matrix consisting of spatial factor scores associated with the time points (250) as variables, and 8 spatial factors x 4 conditions x 28 participants as cases. The Scree test suggested retention of 8 temporal factors accounting for 93.1% of the variance, which were then rotated to simple structure using Varimax.
the Extraversion / Faces-P300 effect. The magnitude of the partial correlation between Extraversion scores and Face-oddball P300 remained very similar to the zero-order correlation ($r = .53, p = .009$), indicating that controlling for age had little effect on the strength of the relationship between P300 amplitude and one’s Extraversion.

**Discussion**

The study’s main finding is that variation on the extraversion dimension is strongly associated with the extent to which social stimuli evoke enhanced allocation of attention. The higher one’s score on the Extraversion, the larger the index of attention allocation (P300 to oddball targets) to human faces. This finding suggests that faces have increased motivational significance for individuals characterized by high extraversion. Importantly, both face (social) and flower (non-social) stimuli appeared with the same frequency in different blocks. The central difference between these two types of stimuli was the assumed absence of personal relevance of flowers – in contrast to faces – to participants across different levels of extraversion. In other words, these two stimulus categories were conceived to have differential motivational or rewarding value for those high on extraversion, and thereby were expected to elicit differential P300 amplitude in those individuals. This hypothesis was supported by the present data.

The finding that extraverts showed larger P300 amplitudes in response to oddball social stimuli (but not to oddball non-social stimuli) supports the idea that human faces are especially noteworthy for these individuals, in comparison to other visual stimuli with equivalent stimulus properties and frequency of occurrence. In contrast, smaller P300 amplitudes found in introverts in responses to faces suggest that human faces are not a particularly attention-grabbing category of visual information for these individuals. Overall, these results suggest that the sociability characterizing extraverts, including enjoyment of social activities and preference for social
interactions over being alone, might be associated with enhanced processing of social stimuli, likely due to a heightened intrinsic psychological significance that such stimuli carry for extraverts. Importantly, this effect does not generalize to all categories of visual stimuli as demonstrated by lack of such association between extraversion and P300 elicited by non-social visual stimuli (in this study, images of flowers).

In sum, the results support the notion of differential neurobiological processes associated with two distinct personality profiles characterized by social approach and social withdrawal. Although a causal relationship cannot be inferred from these results (i.e., it is unclear whether one’s extraversion/introversion might lead to specific alterations in neural circuitry via different lifetime experiences, including more or less social contact, or whether differential brain circuitry determines one’s extraversion), these findings suggest that individual differences in personality are related to meaningful individual differences in neural responses to social stimuli. Future research may utilize this methodology to further explore the impact of intrinsic biology versus the cumulative effect of experience on personality development during earlier life stages.

Finally, given the recent evidence of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine (LC-NE) system involvement in generation of the P300 (Nieuwenhuis et al., 2005; see also Polich, 2007), it is conceivable that this system might be implicated in the expression of the personality dimension descriptively captured as extraversion (and its main facet of social engagement). Although highly speculative, it may be worth considering the possibility that the P300 may serve as a probe of the processing pathways sustaining the extraverts’ bias towards seeking and enjoying social interactions. That is, within a few hundred milliseconds of being exposed to a social stimulus, the nervous system is already passing along a signal that is consistent with differential

*** While we are aware of the dopaminergic hypothesis of extraversion first put forward by Depue (1995), evidence for this model has been inconsistent (cf. Wilt & Revelle, 2009).
behavioral patterns encapsulated by the personality trait of extraversion: in extraverts this signal is biased towards allowing preferential access to the limited pool of attentional resources, while in introverts social stimuli are not granted such preferential status. Thus, given the currently discussed LC-NE hypothesis of the P300 etiology and the variability of the P300 elicited by social stimuli observed along the extraversion continuum in the present study, the LC-NE system might prove as another fundamental explanation for the difference in the nervous system function between extraverts and introverts, perhaps originating with overall arousal, as has been suggested by early personality theorists (Eysenck, 1967; Eysenck & Eysenck, 1985).
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Figure 1. Event-related potentials at Pz (midline parietal electrode, where P300 is at its maximum) averaged for individuals with low, mid-range and high Extraversion scores, based on the tertiary split of the sample. Black vertical arrows (corresponding to zero time) mark stimulus onset. Positive voltages are plotted as downward deflections.
Figure 2. Scatter plot of Extraversion scores and PCA-derived P300 amplitude (P300 factor scores). The value of the factor scores (Y axis) is a unitless dimension.