Involvement of classical anterior and posterior language areas in sign language production, as investigated by 4 T functional magnetic resonance imaging
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Abstract

To investigate the cerebral organization for language production across the particular channels supporting linguistic behavior, a functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) study was conducted in deaf native users of American Sign Language (ASL) and age-matched hearing controls. Seven native ASL speakers and 15 vocal English speaking subjects covertly performed an object naming task inside the 4 T scanner using their native languages ASL or English, respectively. In subjects of both groups, classical language areas were found to be activated, including posterior Broca’s area, the anterior insula, premotor cortex, and the posterior parts of the superior temporal cortex. Activations showed a predominance of the left hemisphere for both groups. In the deaf group, however, there was markedly larger involvement of the cerebellum, the inferior frontal gyrus, and the posterior insula and more robust activation in occipito-temporal and superior parietal cortices. In summary, it could be demonstrated by fMRI that native language production using ASL is associated with activation of classical language areas, although the neural organization for language processing is not identical in the two language modalities ASL and English language.
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Sign language in deaf subjects, e.g. American Sign Language (ASL), can be considered as natural language with the complex linguistic structure found in spoken language of hearing subjects, including representational levels such as phonology (sublexical structure), morphology (structure at the level of word meaning), and syntax (structure at the level of the sentence) [3]. Sign languages are not merely gestural systems, nor are they manual derivatives of the spoken language used in the surrounding community. Signed and spoken languages are comparable, then, in terms of their linguistic and psycholinguistic properties, but differ radically in terms of the way this linguistic structure is implemented in production and comprehension. Sign language makes extensive use of spatial contrasts and uses visual and manual modalities for perception and comprehension.

Lesion-based work has established that hemispheric asymmetries for language and spatial cognition in deaf life-long signers are similar to those found in hearing speaking individuals [1,13]. These lesion studies are in agreement with a functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) study of sign language comprehension demonstrating a marked bias of the left hemisphere to processing of languages independent of modality [2]. Moreover, a H215O positron emission tomography (PET) study showed large overlap in activation patterns during narrative production in English and in sign language [7]. There are further lines of evidence suggesting that Broca’s area is involved in sign language production [8,22]. Furthermore, there is evidence that the left lateral superior temporal lobe is particularly involved in supporting sign language comprehension [18], agreeing well with studies of comprehension of spoken language.
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multi-slice echo planar images were constantly acquired during the head gradient set and a quadrature bird-cage head coil, at a SISCo scanner operating at 4 T and equipped with a shielded head. All participants were neurologically and neuropsychologically normal, none had any history of neurological or psychiatric disease. All subjects gave their written informed consent to participate.

Seven right-handed subjects (five female) with congenital nonsyndromic deafness were included in the study (mean age 29 years, range 21–49 years). Five were born to deaf signing parents, all were exposed to ASL prelingually, and all attended a residential school for the deaf and used ASL as their primary means of communication. As controls, fifteen right-handed healthy participants (eight female; mean age 26.5 years, range 21–44 years), all of them native speakers of English, were investigated using the same study protocol; all these subjects were neurologically and neuropsychologically normal, none had any history of neurological or psychiatric disease. All subjects gave their written informed consent to participate.

The subjects underwent fMRI scanning in a Siemens/SISCO scanner operating at 4 T and equipped with a shielded head gradient set and a quadrature bird-cage head coil, at the University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, MN). Blipped multi-slice echo planar images were constantly acquired during the task and reference periods (echo time, 30 ms; acquisition time, 30 ms; matrix, 64; alpha, 90°). A minimum of 27 contiguous coronal slices covered the entire brain (field of view, 200–240 mm; slice thickness, 5 mm). Structural scans were acquired axially, with a FLASH sequence (matrix, 256 × 128; 27–64 slices; 2.5–5 mm thickness, no gap; alpha, 10°; field of view, 220–256 mm). Scanner noise was constant across all conditions. Head movements were minimized as far as possible by positioning the subjects’ heads in the scanner by use of a vacuum mold. Moreover, a pneumatic pressure sensor was placed next to all subjects’ heads and used to record head motion data. Before 2-D Fourier transformation, a Gaussian line broadening was applied, resulting in a voxel size of approximately 5 mm.$^	ext{3}$

The activation condition comprised a word production task in which daily-life objects were visually presented on a screen outside the scanner and had to be named by the subjects; to ensure that activations could not be attributed to the participants observing their own handshapes or hearing their own voices, respectively, and in order to minimize motion-related artifacts the subjects should name objects sub-vocally (i.e. with covert articulation). For that purpose, deaf subjects were instructed to imagine communicating with themselves by signing in analogy to covert verbal speaking. The reference condition consisted of passive viewing of size-matched grayscale images shown at the same rate as the objects, in which individual pixels were pseudo-randomly assigned a grayscale value with the luminance matching the average luminance of the objects. Stimulus presentation followed a block design in a fivefold alternation of a reference and an activation task, each of 45-s duration, i.e. an A1B1A2B2A3 pattern where A denote the reference conditions and B denote the activation conditions.

Data were analyzed using STIMULATE software (version 5.0) by Strupp [23]. Two statistical comparisons were performed on each subject’s data: Using a Student’s t-test, (1) the B1 activation period was compared against pooled images acquired during A1 and A2 reference periods, and (2) the B2 activation period was compared against the pooled images acquired during A2 and A1 reference periods. For control of motion artifacts, the center of gravity in the time course for three spatial directions was plotted and, if movement exceeded a threshold of 1 mm, the data was discarded. Only pixels which showed signal changes greater in the activation periods than in the reference periods in both comparisons at the P < 0.01 level and which were part of a cluster of minimally four voxels within a slice were considered activated. Each activated voxel remaining after this thresholding procedure was then set to a value of 1.0, and all other pixels to a value of 0.0 to produce an activation map for each subject. These activation maps were then warped into Talairach space and superimposed as a group onto a high-contrast structural MRI image also warped to Talairach space. For anatomical identification, the coordinates from the Talairach stereotaxic grid were used. Voxels in the group data which were not activated in a majority of subjects in each sample were eliminated. Consecutively, only those clusters were regarded which were activated in at least 8 of the 15 hearing subjects or at least 4 of the 7 deaf subjects, respectively. The degree of overlap in activation in a given voxel between subjects was then color coded. By that procedure, the reduced accessibility of the individual variability in the data which is immanent in group analyses was accounted for.

As the main findings after this straight thresholding, activations in the deaf subjects were found in the anterior and posterior parts of Broca’s area in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), corresponding to Brodmann’s area (BA) 44/45, comprising the frontal operculum and in its depth the lateral aspects of the anterior insula. Thus, it could be hold that this area is indeed involved in sign language production. Furthermore, activated areas were localized in the posterior superior temporal cortex (comprising mainly BA 22) and in the precentral gyrus. In the hearing subjects, classical language areas were found to be activated, including posterior Broca’s area, corresponding to BA 44/45, and the lateral anterior insula, moreover the posterior parts of the superior temporal cortex, superior temporal gyrus (STG), and...
posterior temporal pole, as described previously [14]. Very robust activation was found in the premotor cortex, and the precentral gyrus was as well shown to take part in language processing, as described in previous studies on speaking subjects [19,24]. Hemispheric asymmetry of activation was found for all of the above-named areas (especially Broca’s area and posterior superior temporal areas) with a clear predominance of the left hemisphere both for deaf and for hearing subjects without obvious group differences.

Besides these similarities in activation patterns, there were differences in the group activation maps. Overall, the activations in the ASL signers tended to be somewhat more scattered and less robust than in the English-speaking subjects, reflecting a larger network of regions involved and a higher interindividual variability. In the hearing subjects, the dorsal aspects of the temporal lobe showed larger activated clusters than in the deaf subjects. Moreover, activations in the ventral parts of the occipito-temporal cortices (particularly of the right hemisphere), in the superior parietal lobe (SPL) and in the supramarginal gyrus were markedly larger in the deaf participants than in the English-speaking. In the ASL users, the posterior and anterior aspects of the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG, corresponding to BA 45/46) showed a more robust involvement, and the insula was activated both in its posterior and its anterior parts in contrast to more anterior activation in the hearing group. The activations found in the superior premotor cortex were both lateral and medial for both groups, but spread more laterally in the hearing subjects. Interestingly, the significant voxel clusters in the cerebellum were markedly larger in the deaf group. Representative rendered 3-D images of the overlays of activations onto a standard brain are given in Fig. 1A–D for the deaf and for the hearing subjects, respectively.

This is to our knowledge the first fMRI study comparing the brain organization for ASL production to that of spoken language. By that design, an experimental situation for high-resolution functional neuroimaging is created in which linguistic factors were held constant between the two language systems, whereas the sensory and motor modalities through which language production was channeled were varied. We could then compare the neural organization of the two language systems to map the contribution of modality specific factors on the neurology of language. It could be demonstrated that ASL production is associated with activation of cortical areas which are known to be involved in speech production in healthy subjects (e.g. [4,5,21]). In particular, taking the initial questions into account, Broca’s area was found to be robustly activated in our sample of ASL users, as well were the posterior superior temporal cortex and the anterior insula. All these areas were activated in both the deaf and the hearing group in our study. In summary, these results confirmed the involvement of so-called classical language areas in ASL production, including posterior parts of STG [14]. These results are in agreement with the results of a recent perfusion PET study by Horwitz et al. demonstrating involvement of BA 45 in both ASL and spoken language generation [16]. Furthermore, these areas were shown to be activated during ASL...
comprehension as well in a previous fMRI study by Neville et al. [19].

Obviously, the cerebral activation patterns in both lan-
guage modalities contained structures classically linked to
language processing. With respect to Broca’s area/IFG, it is
well known that this region is involved in various aspects of
language processing. However, it has to be noted that there is
ample evidence from neuroimaging studies that Broca’s
area is not an exclusively language-specific module but plays
a role in nonlinguistic (e.g. motor) processes as well [6]. In
our study design using a reference condition without a mo-
tor component, it is not possible to differentiate if Broca’s
area activation in the signers might be merely due to motor
planning. Anyway, a large overlap of activated clusters in
IFG (BA 44/45) in both subject groups during signing and
speaking, respectively, can be stated, implicating a common
functional role in components of language production sensu
stricto. With respect to the activation in the left-hemispheric
anterior insula, this area has been shown to be involved in
speech motor control both by neuroimaging [25] and le-
sion studies [10]. The present data provide evidence for its
functional association with another language modality, with
the same considerations concerning functional specificity for
language as discussed for Broca’s area.

A similar degree of language lateralization to the left
hemisphere as previously described for ASL comprehension
in deaf signers despite the visuospatial nature of sign lan-
guage [15] could be confirmed for overt language produc-
tion in the present study (in which all of the 22 subjects were
right-handed). Although these findings in deaf native ASL
signers agree with the results of a PET study on language
processing, particularly concerning spatial relationships, as
a part of the extensive language-related network in sign-
ers. Within this extensive network involving the ventral
occipito-temporal cortices and SPL, the neural represen-
tations of the visuospatial component in ASL production
was demonstrated. In summary, the analysis of the brain
systems involved in signed and spoken languages, despite
the high degree of similarity, broadens the understanding of
the neural networks underlying language generation.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Kamil Ugurbil (CMRR,
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and Pe-
ter L. Strick (Veterans Administration Medical Center, Syra-
cuse, NY and State University of New York-HSC, Syracuse,
NY, USA) for their continued support, the use of the 4 T
scanner, and very valuable suggestions and discussions.

References

J.P. Brandt. Acquisition of signs from American Sign Language in
hearing individuals following left hemisphere damage and aphasia,

[2] D. Bavelier, D. Corina, P. Izussi, V. Clark, A. Karni, A. Lalvani,
J.P. Rauschecker, A. Braun, R. Tatum, H.J. Neville, Hemispheric
specialization for English and ASL: left invariance—right variability,


[4] J.R. Binder, Neurolanatomy of language processing studied with

production: Wernicke, Broca and beyond, Brain 125 (2002) 1829–
1838.

understanding the cortical organization of semantic processing, Annu.

tion of discourse: an H 2 15 O-PET study of narrative production in

[8] D.P. Corina, S.L. McBurney, C. Dukellis, K. Hirose, J. Bourhy,
G. Ojemann, Functional roles of Broca’s area and SFG: evidence
from cortical stimulation mapping in a deaf signer, Neuroimage 10
(1999) 370–381.

Braun, Language lateralization in a bilingual man, J. Cog.


